## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,252	FAWLEY, NORMAN C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patrick Butler	1791	

		1 dirlok Baller	1781	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>01 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
a fo	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coeriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) 🛭	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensi	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		36(a) and the appropriate extension fee	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of	
fi N	ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			
	a) Marthey raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);	
	D) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) $\boxtimes$ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(	appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the issues for	
(	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. 🔲 .	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	·		
h T	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of	
	Claim(s) objected to:			
C	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,6-10,17 and 18</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-16</u> .			
8. 🔲 T	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a	
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:	
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ch.::	oting Johnson/			
	stina Johnson/ rvisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that require further consideration and/or search and that do not place the application in better form for appeal are the new limitations of "one of a" and "each of" in lines 3 and 9, respectively, which diverts the claim from multiple heaters as previously claimed as "placing a heater proximate to a plurality of longitudinally displaced locations".